

PLANNED PARENTHOOD STAFFERS PIMPED UNDERAGE GIRLS AS GUINEA PIGS FOR BIRTH CONTROL TESTING

Renee Mestad, when she wasn't riding the circuit as a Planned Parenthood abortionist or getting sued for her work in Syracuse, found time to take part in a study of underage girls using birth control devices and medications.

Don't walk away, Renee. You were the lead author of the report on this commercial use of underage girls. For the record, your opus is titled "Acceptance of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods by adolescent participants in the Contraceptive CHOICE Project," and you and your fellow exploiters, errr, colleagues released it in 2011.



Left: Renee Mestad. "One pill makes you larger, one pill makes you small, we hope the pills we give you ... won't let you conceive at all."

In the study, Renee and her fellow voyeurs noted breathlessly: "... most of the data concerning the available contraceptive subdermal implant in the United States come from clinical trials, which did not include women under the age of 18 years. Therefore, there is very little information about the use of IUDs and contraceptive implants by adolescents in the United States."

The makers of these products had a very valid reason for not including teenage girls under the age of 18 in these clinical trials. It's called the penal code.

If an adult female, or even an immature female who is at least 18, wants to be a guinea pig for three years in exchange for free IUDs or hormonal implants that openly signal anyone who checks her arms she can be had, then that's their business, not the law's. But in all states, the age of consent is at least 16. In some states it is 17, and in a few it is 18. Renee said the runners of this study got girls as young as 14 to use

what they called "long-acting reversible contraceptives" and promise to have sex with guys for up to three years to test their effectiveness at limiting pregnancies.

In no state is a 14-year-old girl or a 15-year-old girl capable of participation in such a test. In Missouri, where the girls were recruited, the age of consent is 17, so 16-year-olds were off limits also. Who were allowed to screw these girls in the name of the advancement of birth control device marketing?

Renee said for girls under the age of 18, they had to get the okay of one parent or "guardian." How many girls had "guardians" or "parents" who were teachers or coaches or youth ministers or the current bedpartner of their mothers?

Did families with incest problems provide “volunteer” girls so Moms could save time and money in protecting their bed partners and/or sons and/or other males in the family circle from police inquiries as to how their daughters got pregnant or needed VD treatment, or showed up for birth control before their school nurses or at free standing facilities? (Planned Parenthood very likely would not have sent the police after underage girls’ families. The record implies they don’t report, and anyway, it would be bad for business.)

Did the girls in the test get to pick their own boys or adult males?

Renee said a group called the Contraceptive CHOICE Project did the real work of scouting for girls to add to the young women in the test project. She said (and they would say in their own screed) that they were trying to reduce unwanted pregnancies by hooking girls and young women on IUDs and hormonal implant devices.

(For the record, there were 214 girls ages 14-17 in the “study,” another 840 females of varying maturity ages 18-20 in the “study,” and 4000 or so females 21 and older.)

Renee commingled the 18-20 year-olds in with the 14-17 year-olds in reporting demographics. She said 581 were blacks, 365 were whites, and 101 were “other,” for a total of 1047. She apparently forgot to account for several other girls and very young women, as she reported 1054 of the test participants were 20 or younger.

Renee reported 504 of the 1054 females younger than 21 came from households on government assistance.

Renee said 148 of the 214 girls 14-17 and 510 of the 840 18-20 year olds chose either an implant or an IUD. The others chose birth control pills, condoms, or other non-long term methods of birth control. She said 93 of the girls chose the implant, and 146 of the 18-20 year-olds chose the implant. The older females maybe understood it is best not to advertise openly one is on birth control and is therefore an available player.

Renee also noted 400 or so of the 658 teens and 20 year olds who took IUDs or implants had at least one unintended pregnancy.. The mean age of such pregnancies was just above 17 and not quite to 17 years, 6 months. This means almost half the of girls reported getting pregnant before the age of consent in Missouri.

Renee as a Planned Parenthood doctor knew or should have known that 1% of all their abortion patients reported they’d been raped, and another 0.4% reported they’d been the victims of incest. Half of all female rape victims are 17 or younger; the girls are much more prone to be multiple rape victims than adult women. Similar statistics known to Planned Parenthood and to law enforcement show the average girl’s first “sexual partners” are prone to be somewhat older than them. Planned Parenthood claims patient ages consistent with 1% to 2% of their clientele being below the age of 16. In other words, Renee knew or should have known a high percentage of the girls below the age of 17 were very likely to be victims of rape or statutory rape or most likely incest. None of this surfaced in her report.

Renee and her colleagues bemoaned the fact that most doctors would not put IUDs into girls. She reported about 70% of OB/GYNs thought IUDs were a bad idea for girls. Maybe the 70% have a point. Why put a metal device that looks like a double tuna hook thru the cervixes and into the uteruses of girls and very young women?

Renee claimed it was urgent to get younger females on IUDs or implants because pregnancy rates were starting to rise during the second Bush 43 administration. (Ironically one of Bush 43’s daughters

is a Planned Parenthood employee, his grandfather Prescott Bush was a treasurer for Planned Parenthood when Margaret Sanger ran it, and his father Bush 41 and mother Barbara and wife Laura were Planned Parenthood supporters.) She implied girls were by and large too immature or irresponsible or dumb to use condoms and spermicides or birth control pills properly. (She never commented maybe this is why age of consent laws exist – to protect these girls from themselves as well as from others.)

Another set of items these outhouse Einsteins did not report were complications from usage of IUDs and hormonal implant devices. They said nothing about possible damage to girls' uteruses from IUDs. (IUDs damage enough girls that most OB/GYNs think it is a bad idea to jam these fishhook shaped devices into girls' wombs.) They said nothing about possible sicknesses girls could suffer from adult female doses of hormones from the implant devices, or allergic reactions to the implanted hormones, or damage from driving them into girls' arms.



Publicity still from “The Effect,” a 2012 English play about a girl serving as a human guinea pig for a medical trial. Art imitates life, except the victim shown here was a college coed, not a middle school girl or a high school girl.

They did not say if they reported any potential sex abuse victims to the police or to the child protective services agents. Nor did they display in the report a waiver or contract they made the girls and their “guardians” sign which may well have gotten the girls to sign away their rights in case of medical problems or sexual abuse during the “trials.”

Renee argued someone (most likely the taxpayers) should to pay for the up-front cost of IUDs and implants to prevent teen pregnancies.

So what Renee and the Contraceptive CHOICE Project did sounds more like marketing support for the makers of IUDs and implants. And gifting from the taxpayers something that is the lifeblood of Planned Parenthood.

Who paid for this? Renee helpfully reported: “This research was supported in part by (a) an anonymous foundation; (b) Midcareer Investigator Award in Women’s Health Research (K24 HD01298); (c) Clinical and Translational Science Awards (UL1RR024992) and (d) grant numbers KL2RR024994 and K3054628 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.”

What is the Contraceptive CHOICE Project?

Per their screed “The Contraceptive CHOICE Project Round Up: what we did and what we learned” by **Colleen McNicholas, DO, Madden Tessa, MD MPH**, Gina Secura, PhD, and Jeffrey F. Peipert, MD PhD, this:

“The Contraceptive CHOICE Project was a prospective cohort study of 9,256 women in the St. Louis area. The project provided no-cost reversible contraception to participants for 2-3 years with the goal of increasing uptake of long-acting reversible contraception and decreasing unintended pregnancy in the area. This manuscript will provide a brief summation of the major findings to date including the dramatic effect the project had on unintended pregnancy and abortion rates.

NOTE: Colleen McNicholas is a Planned Parenthood leader. Tessa Madden was a Planned Parenthood staffer. Both worked in Missouri.

In 2006, an anonymous foundation contacted the newly formed family planning group at Washington University in St. Louis. Their request was simple: promote and provide the most effective methods of contraception to women in the St. Louis region. The foundation was willing to support the effort to do so, including the cost of these “most effective methods” and all forms of reversible contraception. As the Vice Chair of Clinical Research, Dr. Peipert saw this as an opportunity to study contraceptive use, including continuation, satisfaction, and how provision of long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) methods, including intrauterine devices (IUDs) and implants, might impact unintended pregnancy rates in the region. Thus, the concept for the Contraceptive CHOICE Project was conceived.

The newly formed Division of Clinical Research in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology was not equipped and staffed to design and implement a large-scale project such as CHOICE. New staff needed to be hired, and CHOICE needed a project director, leader, and champion. Dr. Gina Secura, an epidemiologist with an interest in sexually transmitted infections and global health, was hired to take on this task.

Under the leadership of Drs. Secura and Peipert, a strong team of clinicians, data managers, and research assistants was formed. The project was committed to adhering to a high standard of clinical research and contraceptive care with carefully designed protocols and quality data collection with the goal of changing the paradigm of how we think about contraception and the provision of care.”

In other words, the “anonymous foundation” and the taxpayers gave these grant whores enough money to give more than 9000 females birth control of their choosing for up to three years for free, and to pay for a number of nondoctors and bean counters and social workers and flunkies to follow these females on their voyages of sexual discovery (wanted or coerced or pressured) with free birth control.

The Contraceptive CHOICE Project people claimed they recruited almost 500 girls 14-17 for the project. In so many words, they described the Mestad-reported group of girls and very young women as “the first 1054” a subset of their larger work.

COMMENT: It feels like foundation money that was likely the product of robber baron unethical business practices and government-sponsored exploitation paid for in part by the taxpayers, no?

Who was the anonymous foundation? Kaiser? The Guttmacher foundation? A younger pro-abortion pimping outfit like the Society for Family Planning? A front for implant and IUD manufacturers, or public school employee unions? A Hollywood funded foundation with a vested interest in dodging the results of teen pregnancy some of their top dogs have caused by preying upon teen girls? Some other group of leftist scumbags? Or an establishment Republican group of scumbags like the Koch people? Or friends of the Bushes?

Did the Contraceptive CHOICE Project give up how they got their human guinea pigs?

From their screed, this:

“To ensure that high-risk women could be successfully recruited and retained, we developed four recruitment strategies; first, we identified key community partners including federally qualified health centers, local family planning clinics, and clinics providing abortion services. Community partners were involved throughout the project to ensure a mutually beneficial relationship. Second, we established relationships with private health care providers in the community and provided recruitment material to help facilitate referral. Third, we created a system to continuously evaluate recruitment efforts at each site. Lastly, we provided participants with cards containing contact information for the CHOICE Project to give to their friends and family.”

In other words, they got Planned Parenthood and other abortion mills and birth control facilities to send them their sloppiest and least monitored or most victimized underage and barely-of-age patients. They may well have also gotten public school nurses, teachers, and counselors to send them their most promiscuous or out-of-control or teacher-victimized girls. For sex offense victim girls, the “researchers” may very well have ignored the warning signs these girls were sexual prey of guys in their Moms’ beds or brothers or stepbrothers or other males in their family circle or circle of acquaintances, like public school teachers.

CHOICE wanted to pimp IUDs and implants as pregnancy reducing products. And any ovulating girl in the St. Louis area who was sexually active, even against her will, could be one of their lab mice.

They reported teens on the implant or the IUD had greatly lower pregnancy rates than average teen girls. (But these “foolproof” devices still didn’t stop all pregnancies!)

They admitted some of the females stopped using IUDs and implants because of cramping and bleeding.

They sheepishly admitted females on the implant or the IUD had higher rates of venereal diseases than the natural average.



Left: Tessa Madden, a Planned Parenthood doctor who did some work at their abortion tourist facility in Fairview Heights, IL. She escaped the scrutiny that fellow Planned Parenthood doctor Colleen McNicholas (also named as a doctor in this pill-testing project) got for her substandard work for the government-subsidized abortion mill and sex clinic giant. Due to slovenly sourpuss Colleen's problems, and due to Planned Parenthood's apparent inability to find other physicians for the Columbia, MO facility, they had to let their abortion license lapse in October 2018. (Source: 10/3/2018 article in Columbia Missourian by Clare Roth)

Tessa's "wife" sued her for "divorce" in St. Louis in 2023. (Source: St. Louis City, MO Circuit Court Case No. 2322-FC01089)

Did the program lead to an increase or decrease in sexual activity among the youngest girls? The CHOICE ghouls noted: "Only 16% reported an increase, and of those more than 80% experienced a change from zero partners to one partner." Naturally, they didn't say how many of these newly sexually active females were underage girls.

Nor did they say if they got parental consent or "guardian" consent for girls to take part in the multiyear eff-fest. Nor did they say if they reported any sexual abuse victimizations.

Bottom line?

Renee couldn't walk away from calling the 14-17 year-old girls "adolescent women" just because they had vaginas and could get pregnant if screwed by older males. Renee was saying it was okay to use these girls as guinea pigs by calling them "adolescent women." The law recognizes 18 as when a girl becomes a woman. There is a reason for this it is to protect girls from their own immaturity as well as from the lusts of the scumbags who want to use them sexually. Renee didn't care. They were lab animals to her and her CHOICE buddies.

Renee Mestad, CHOICE, and the other degenerates involved with this study were OK with the use of underage girls because it fit their purpose. They evidently did nothing to improve the medical care of these girls, or monitor complications, or report sexual abuse. Otherwise they would have trumpeted such achievements.

COMMENT: If you say you are doing research, you can negligently or maliciously kill or wound victims (research participants). And you can pimp for drug makers and device makers to jack up their profits. All this while undercutting the parental authority of good parents, abetting the actions of bad parents looking to cover for rape or incest in their own family circle, and encouraging girls to have more sex with males using them as sperm receptacles who don't have to have any commitment to them ... while you apparently leave them unprotected in case of medical problems, subject them to more chances of contracting VD, not making them safe from pregnancy and abortion even when giving them products you pimp as being virtually foolproof, and while you have failed to work toward their better physical and mental health, which is what medical research is supposed to achieve.