LAURA STEVENS WILLDORF & STEVENS 3365 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94110 415/285-2314 3 attorneys for Plaintiff INDEXED PLFF INDEXED DEET REGISTERED ASSIGNED TO DEPT. NO.

ILEE MAR 311983 CARL M. ØLSEN, Clerk

PERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ERIN K. BELL, HARY M. CONN and SUSAN CHAIG.

Plaintiffs.

JANE FONDA'S WORKCUT, aka THE WORKOUT, INC., a corporation, JANE FONDA, and DOES I through XX, inclusive,

vs.

Defendants.

no. 807215

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR SEX DISCRIMINATION, EQUAL PAY AND MINIMUM WAGE VICLATIONS, ETC.

COME NOW, plaintiffs, and each of them, and complain of defendants, and each of them, as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(SEX DISCRIMINATION)

1. At all times mentioned herein, defendants Jake FChDA'S WORKOUT, aka THE WORKOUT, INC. (nereinafter "The workout") was and employer within the meaning of Government Code (12925)(c) and a corporation organized and doing business under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 36;5 West Olympic Boulevard, 2005, Egverly Hills, California 90211, engaged in the business of being an exercise studio.

28

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendant JANE FONDA (hereinafter "Fonda") is and at all times herein mentioned was the principal owner of stock of defendant. The Workout. There exists and at all times herein has existed a unity of interest and ownership between defendant Fonda and defendant. The Workout, such that any individuality and separateness of these defendants has ceased and defendant Fonda is the alter ego of defendant. The Workout in the following ways:

- a. The individual defendant Fonda has at all times herein mentioned exercised total dominion and control over the corporate defendant;
- b. The individual defendant was the first and plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege the controlling director of the corporate defendant;

の動物を見ると言葉を見るというない。

THE PARTY IN

- c. The individual defendant owns or substantially controls all of the stock of the corporate defendant;
- d. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the individual defendant and the corporate defendant have so intermingled their personal and disancial affairs that the corporate defendant was and is the alterego of the individual defendant;
- e. Defendant Fonda completely controls the officers and directors of said corporation;
- f. Adequate corporate records have not been kept.

 Adherence to the fiction of the separate existences of defendant. The Workout and defendant Fonda would sanction fraud and/or promote injustice in the manner set forth hereinafter.
 - 3. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and

capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of defendants DOES I through XX, and therefore sue said defendants by such ficitious names. Plaintiffs will amend the Complaint accordingly to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, resulting in the damages proximately sustained by the plaintiffs, as hereinafter alleged.

- 4. At all times herein mentioned each defendant was the agent, servant and employee of each and all other defendants and were acting within the course and scope of said employment and has approved, authorized and/or ratified each of the acts and omissions herein alleged.
- 5. Plaintiff ERIN K. BELI (hereinalter "Bell") was employed as a Nautilus Instructor by defendant The Workout from October 12, 1981 to December 10, 1982 at defendant The Workout's San Francisco facility located at 170 Maiden Lane, San Francisco, California 94108. Plaintiff Bell's employment with defendant The Workout was terminated for lack of work, defendant The Workout having closed its Nautilus Room for lack of profitability on or about December 10, 1982. At all times mentioned herein plaintiff Bell performed her job duties in a competent and responsible fashion and was a satisfactory employee. At the time of her termination, plaintiff Bell was paid \$6.00 per hour.
- 6. Plaintiff MARY M. CONN (hereinafter "Conn") was employed as a Nautilus Instructor by defendant The Workout from

October 12, 1981 to approximately October 8, 1982 at defendant The Workout's San Francisco facility located at 170 Maiden Lane, San Francisco, California 94108. Plaintiff Conn's employment with defendant The Workout was terminated in anticipation of lack of work, defendant The Workout having decided to close its Nautilus Room for lack of profitability. At all times mentioned herein plaintiff Conn performed her job duties in a competent and responsible fashion and was a satisfactory employee. At the time of her termination, plaintiff Conn was paid \$6.00 per hour.

7. Plaintiff SUSAN CRAIG (hereinafter "Craig") was employed as a Nautilus Instructor by defendant The Workout from October 12, 1981 to May 15, 1982 at defendant The Workout's San Francisco facility located at 170 Maiden Lane, San Francisco, California 94108. Plaintiff Craig chose to terminate her employment with defendant The Workout on or about May 15, 1982. At all times mentioned herein plaintiff Craig performed her job duties in a competent and responsible fashion and was a satisfactory employee. At the time of her termination, plaintiff Craig was paid \$6.00 per hour.

8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that male Nautilus Instructors were paid more than plaintiffs were paid for job duties entailing equal skill, effort and responsibility which job duties were performed under similar working conditions. These male instructors received at least \$7.00 per hour, while plaintiffs were paid \$6.00 per hour and plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that at least one such male Nautilus Instructor was paid \$8.50 per hour while plaintiffs were never paid more than \$6.00 per hour for

this work.

9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendant The Workout subjected plaintiffs to differential and less favorable terms and conditions of employment because of their sex, female. Plaintiffs pray leave to amend their Complaint accordingly when the full extent of the unfair and unlawful conduct of defendants and each of them is discovered.

- 10. Plaintiffs have filed charges of sex discrimination in employment with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, pursuant to Government Code §§12900, et seq. The Department of Fair Employment and Housing cannot effectuate a complete remedy and therefore plaintiffs seek this Court's relief.
- 11. The wrongful acts described in paragraphs 8 and 9 herein violated plaintiffs' rights and Government Code §§12900, et seq. by denying plaintiffs equal employment opportunities and equal terms and conditions of employment because of their sex, female.
- 12. By reason of the wrongful acts of defendants, and each of them, plaintiffs have suffered loss of income in an amount presently unascertained.
- 13. As a further direct and proximate result of the acts complained of herein, plaintiffs have suffered indignation, humiliation and distress, all to their general damage in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 14. The acts and omissions of defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, were done by defendants willfully, intentionally, fraudulently, maliciously, in conscious disregard of plaintiffs' rights and designed to harass and oppress plaintiffs. As a

result of said actions by defendants, said defendants and each of them were unjustly enriched. Thereby, plaintiffs are entitled to and pray for an award of punitive and exemplary damages in the amount of Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000).

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(EQUAL PAY VIOLATION, LABOR CODE §1197.5)

- 15. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 8 of the First Cause of Action set forth above.
- 16. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendant The Workout failed to maintain accurate records regarding plaintiffs' work, including but not limited to records of schedules, assignments and work performed, which records must be maintained pursuant to Labor Code §1197.5(d).
- 17. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendant The Workout destroyed records of schedules, assignments and worked performed, which records must be maintained for a two year period pursuant to Labor Code §1197.5(d).
- 18. The wrongful acts alleged in paragraphs 8, 16 and 17 herein violated Labor Code §1197.5, damaging plaintiffs, and each of them, in an amount not presently ascertained.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

//

| | //

3

5

7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

(MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATION, LABOR CODE §1197)

- Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 of the First Cause of Action set forth above.
- 20. At the commencement of their employment by defendant The Workout plaintiffs were required by their employer to attend training classes, which classes were for the sole benefit of their employer, and which requirement arose in the course and scope of plaintiffs' employment by defendants and each of them. At no time did defendants pay any compensation to plaintiffs for their performance of these job duties, in violation of Labor Code \$1197.
- Plaintiffs were damaged by the wrongful acts alleged in paragraph 20 in an amount not presently ascertained.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth. .

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME, LABOR CODE \$1350.5)

- 22. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 of the First Cause of Action set forth above.
- 23. From time to time in the course of their employment by defendants, plaintiffs Bell and Conn were required to and did work in excess of eight hours in any one day and/or 40 hours in any one week. Defendants failed and refused to pay wages at the

 rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for such work, in violation of Labor Code §1350.5, until September 1982. On or about September 1982 derendants paid to plaintiffs Bell and Conn an amount less than the full unpaid wages then due and owing and thereafter defendants have failed and refused to pay the remaining sums to these plaintiffs.

24. Plaintiffs Bell and Conn were damaged by the wrongful acts alleged in paragraph 23 in an amount not yet ascertained.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT)

- 25. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 4, 6 and 14 of the First Cause of Action set forth above.
- 26. On or about September 1982 defendants promised to all Nautilus Instructors, including plaintiff Conn, that in closing the Nautilus Room, the Nautilus Instructors would be laid off in reverse seniority order and with severance pay in return for the employees remaining available to defendants for performance of their job duties until final closure of the Nautilus Room.
- 27. The promises alleged in paragraph 26 constituted an oral contract of employment.
- 28. Plaintiff Conn kept herself at all times available, ready and willing to perform all of the duties and obligations on her part required by said contract and did not seek other employment.

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

 29. On or about October 8, 1982 plaintiff Conn's employment was terminated by defendant The Workout before the termination of employment of other Nautilus Instructors with less seniority than plaintiff Conn, and without the payment of any severance amount, in breach of said oral contract and in breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

30. By reason of the acts alleged, plaintiff Conn has been generally damaged in a sum presently unascertained, the exact amount of which will be shown according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENT BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT)

- 31. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 4, 6 of the First Cause of Action set forth above and 26 through 29 of the Fifth Cause of Action.
- 32. Since the execution of said employment contract and at all times pertinent, defendants and each of them negligently performed and negligently failed to perform under the terms of said contract, as aforesaid, to plaintiff Conn's financial detriment.
- 33. By reason of the facts alleged, plaintiff Conn has been generally damaged in an amount unascertained, the exact amount of which will be shown according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

//

(UNLAWFUL TERMINATION)

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

34. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 4 and 6 of the First Cause of Action set forth above and paragraph 26 of the Fifth Cause of Action.

On or about October 12, 1982 plaintiff Conn's 35. employment was terminated by defendants before the termination of employment of other Nautilus Instructors with less seniority than plaintiff Conn, and without the payment of any severance amount due to plaintiff Conn's having voiced dissatisfaction with the terms and conditions of her employment.

36. Defendants' actions in terminating the employment of plaintiff Conn was arbitrary and capricicus and violated the express and implied agreements between defendants, and each of them, and plaintiff Conn as to the terms of the termination of her employment.

37. By reason of the wrongful acts of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff Conn has suffered a loss of income in an amount not yet ascertained.

38. The acts and omissions of defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, were done by defendants willfully, intentionally, fraudulently, maliciously, in conscious disregard of plaintiff's rights and designed to harass and oppress plaintiff. As a result of said actions by defendants, said defendants and each of them were unjustly enriched. Thereby, plaintiff Conn is entitled to and prays for an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

RIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(ACTUAL FRAUD)

- 39. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 9, 13, and 14 of the First Cause of Action, paragraphs 16 and 17 of the Second Cause of Action, paragraph 20 of the Third Cause of Action, paragraph 23 of the Fourth Cause of Action, paragraphs 26 through 29 of the Fifth Cause of Action, and paragraphs 35 and 36 of the Seventh Cause of Action set forth above.
- 40. Defendants, and each of them, were at all times relevant parties to an employment contract with plaintiffs, and each of them, pursuant to which contract defendants, and each of them, became fiduciaries with respect to plaintiffs and their dealings with plaintiffs in the employment relationship.
- 41. On and continually after October 12, 1981 defendants, and each of them, falsely, fraudulently and with intent to deceive and defraud plaintiffs, represented to plaintiffs that they would treat them with especial fairness as to their rights and status as women, that they were abiding by all applicable laws of the United States and the State of California and did more than abide by the laws of the State of California as they relate to employer-employee relations.
- 42. Said representations were materially false and were then and there known by defendants and each of them to be false

in that it was the true intention of defendants and each of them to lull plaintiffs into feelings of confidence and trust in the good intentions of defendants in order to subject plaintiffs to unlawful, unfair and differential terms and conditions of employment for the direct benefit of defendants and each of them and to further their political ambitions.

- 43. Plaintiffs reasonably believed and relied upon said representations made by defendants and each of them and were thereby induced to enter into and remain in the employment relationship and to continue to perform their duties and to defer and/or forbear from seeking redress as provided by the laws of the State of California.
- 44. At all times herein pertinent the representations made by defendants and each of them were made when a fiduciary relationship existed between plaintiffs and defendants and each of them.
- 45. Plaintiff Bell discovered the facts of the fraud and deceit on or about November-December 1982. Plaintiff's Conn and Craig discovered the facts of the fraud and deceit in January 1983 upon being informed of said facts by plaintiff Bell in the first instance.

The state of the s

- 46. As a proximate result of the representations of defendants and each of them to plaintiffs as aforesaid, plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum not yet fully ascertained, to be determined by proof at trial.
- 47. In committing the acts and omissions as heretofore described, defendants and each of them acted willfully, wantonly, maliciously and with the intention to defraud and oppress plain-

 tiffs and by reason thereof, plaintiffs are entitled to punitive and exemplary damages.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD)

- 48. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 9, 13, and 14 of the First Cause of Action, paragraphs 16 and 17 of the Second Cause of Action, paragraph 20 of the Third Cause of Action, paragraph 23 of the Fourth Cause of Action, paragraphs 26 through 29 of the Fifth Cause of Action, paragraphs 35 and 36 of the Seventh Cause of Action and paragraphs 40 through 43 of the Eighth Cause of Action set forth above.
- 49. The actions of defendants and each of them, as hereinabove described, were done maliciously, intentionally, willfully,
 and with intent to defraud plaintiffs, were done to obtain an
 undue advantage over plaintiffs and were done in disregard of
 plaintiffs' rights, thereby entitling plaintiffs to punitive
 damages.
- 50. Plaintiffs have demanded full compensation and restitution of all their rights, privileges and duties from defendants and each of them, which demands have been refused by defendants.
- 51. Plaintiffs are presently unaware of the extent of their general and special damages proximately resulting from the foregoing, the exact amount of which will be shown according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(POLITICAL ACTIVITY REQUIRED, LABOR CODE \$1101)

- 52. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 and 14 of the First Cause of Action set forth above.
- 53. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendants and each of them at all times maintained a policy of providing preferential and more advantageous job conditions to employees who engaged in political activities in association with and/or under the control of defendant Fonda or the Campaign for Economic Democracy, a political organization under the control of defendant Fonda.
- 54. The acts alleged in paragraph 53 were in violation of Labor Code §1101, damaging plaintiffs in an amount not presently ascertained.

//

20 //

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 //

22 //

23 //

a | //

24 /

//

25 /

26 //

27 //

28 //

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs Bell, Conn and Craig pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. General damages according to proof at trial;
- 2. Special damages according to proof at trial;
- 3. Exemplary damages in the amount of Three Million Dollars (\$3,000,000);
 - 4. Costs of suit:
 - 5. Attorneys' fees as provided by law; and
- 6. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: March 30, 1983

WILLDORF & STEVENS

LAURA STEVENS Attorney for Plaintiffs LAURA STEVENS
WILLDORF & STEVENS
3365 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
415/285-7314

GILED STOREST COUNTY SUCCESSION COUNTY

SEP 24 1985

Anomoria) for Plaintiffs

Anomoria) for Plaintiffs

Ry foresting f

DONALD W. DICKINSON, Chirk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFOR. (SUPERIOR, MUNICIPAL, or JUSTICE)	NIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
*******************************	<u>.</u>
	un District or of branch court, if any)
Phainth(s): ERIN K. BELL, et al.	CASE NUMBER 807215
	REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL TYPE OF ACTION
Defendant(s): JANE FONDA'S WORKOUT, et al	Personal Injury, Property Damage and Wrongful Death: Motor Vehicle Other Domestic Relations Eminent Domain
(Abbrevisted Time)	Dabor Code violations
TO THE CLERK: Please dismiss this action as follows: (Ch	eck applicable boxes.)
1.x With prejudice ☐ Without prejudice 2.x Entire action ☐ Complaint only ☐ (Petition only Cross-complaint only
Dated: September 23, 1985. "If dismissal requested is of specified parties only, of specified causes of action only or of specified cross-complaints only, so etate and identify the parties, causes of action or cross-complaints to be dismissed.	Aftorney(a) for Plaintiffs LAURA STEVENS (Type or print aftorney(a) name,a))
TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissal is hereby	given.**
""When a cross-complaint (or Response (Marriage) seeking effirma- tive ratief) is on file, the attorney(s) for the cross-complainant (respondent) must sign this consent when required by CCP 581(1), (2) or (5).	Attorney(s) for
entropy of an interest of the	(Type or print attorney(s) name(s))
(To be completed by clerk)	

Dismissal entered on	es to only
Diamissal not entered as requested for the following reason(s)), and attorney(s) notified on
	, Clerk
Dated	By

Form Adopted by Rule 982 of The Judicial Council of California Revised Effective July 1, 1972

REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL

CCP 581, etc.; Call Rules of Court, Mule 1233